

Resource Use and Renewable Energy Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) Statement of Public Participation: June 2014 Consultation

Explanatory Note:

This statement sets out:

- the persons that were consulted by Fenland District Council during the consultation on the June 2014 consultation draft of the Resource Use and Renewable Energy SPD;
- a summary of the main issues raised by those persons; and
- how these issues have been addressed by the Council in the revised SPD (adopted by Full Council on 24 July 2014).

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Fenland District Council wishes to thank all those who took the time between 3 June 2014 and 30 June 2014 to comment on the June 2014 consultation draft of the Resource Use and Renewable Energy Supplementary Planning Document (SPD).
- 1.2. The SPD has been prepared to provide guidance on resource use and renewable energy in order to support Policy LP14, "Responding to Climate Change and Managing the Risk of Flooding in Fenland", of the Fenland Local Plan 2014, a development plan document (DPD). The Local Plan sets the overarching policy approach to planning in Fenland; this SPD provides more detailed guidance on how Policy LP14 will be implemented. It will therefore help developers in preparing planning applications for submission to Fenland District Council and will also aid the Council in making informed decisions on relevant applications.
- 1.3. The adopted document has the status of a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) and forms part of the Council's planning policy framework. The SPD will have status as a material consideration in the determination of planning applications, though the Local Plan will have the greatest 'weight' in legal terms when the Council determines planning applications.



2. Compliance with Regulations

- 2.1. The Town and Country Planning Regulations 2012 require the Council to consult the public and stakeholders before adopting a SPD. Regulation 12(a) requires the Council to prepare a statement featuring details of those who the Council consulted; a summary of the main issues raised during the consultation; and details of how these issues were addressed by the Council and any consequential changes to the SPD.
- 2.2. The consultees notified of the consultation on the June 2014 draft of the Resource Use and Renewable Energy SPD included: all parish councils in and around Fenland; neighbouring district and county councils; local businesses; interest/pressure groups; religious organisations; infrastructure providers and any other body, party or individual that specifically requested to be informed of Neighbourhood Strategy (Planning Policy) consultations. A list of the organisations consulted can be found in Annex A.
- 2.3. Those organisations and individuals who submitted representations during the earlier consultation on the January 2014 draft were also directly notified of the June 2014 consultation: these organisations and individuals are listed in Annex B.
- 2.4. The table below summarises all the main issues raised during the consultation on the June 2014 consultation draft of the SPD and Fenland District Council's response to the issues raised. All comments received have been read and considered in detail. If no changes have been made as a result of comments received, the reason/s for this is explained.



3. Issues raised during public consultation on June 2014 Consultation Draft of SPD (3 – 30 June 2014)

| Item | Main issues raised (summary) | Council's Response | Change to SPD |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| GENER | AL | | |
| 1 | Pleased to note that the Council has made substantive changes to the SPD which largely address our main concerns previously raised. Content with the general policy approach set out. | Noted | No change |
| 2 | Welcome the revised SPD. The revised document now covers a number of aspects which should be considered when planning for renewable energy development. It appears that a number of previous comments raised have been accommodated. The draft SPD will be a useful mechanism by which applicants will understand what is needed from them in | Noted | No change |



| | order to progress with a renewable energy planning application within Fenland. | | |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | References to reducing 'carbon emissions' should be changed to include all 'greenhouse gases'. In particular, emissions of methane and nitrous oxides and black carbon are also highly significant in driving global warming and are also common by-products of agriculture and business activities in the area. Therefore these should be taken into account. | Noted | References to 'carbon emissions' amended to be 'greenhouse gases'. |
| 4 | The draft SPD only makes reference to the Cambridgeshire Renewable Framework (CRIF) within planning policy LP14. The CRIF should be integral to the development of both planning policies and any supporting SPD regarding renewable energy. | The SPD directly relates to Policy LP14 of the Local Plan therefore it is deemed unnecessary to repeat reference to the CRIF within the SPD itself. | No change. |



| 5 | It is noted that the Applicant Checklist (Appendix A) has been removed. | The checklist was removed as this information is already available from the Council (available from the Fenland District Council website). | No change |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6 | Regarding housing development- I suggest that combined workspace/ residential type housing development are encouraged in rural villages to allow local employment without having to travel to the nearest town to work. This will create employment opportunities and reduce the need for commuting. | This is beyond the remit of this SPD: the purpose of this SPD is to expand on Part A of Policy LP14 of the Fenland Local Plan. | No change. |
| IN RELA | ATION TO PART 2 OF THE | SPD | |
| 7 | We welcome the proposed points system for the assessment of the 'reasonable contribution' towards minimising resource consumption. This is to be preferred to a general statement on the one hand and a prescriptive approach on the other hand. It is concluded | Noted | Text added at 2.1.3 to read: "where an applicant chooses to deliver measures from it would be helpful if a statement detailing the measures that have been included in the proposal is submitted as part of the application." |



| | that implementation of this section will implicitly require a Sustainability Statement or similar to be submitted with a planning application. We would recommend an explicit statement to this effect, perhaps at the beginning of paragraph 2.1.3. | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 8 | List 1 - It is noted that item 13 has been removed from the list. | Reference to SuDs were removed from the SPD following the consultation on the June 2014 consultation draft in light of the recent intention of Cambridgeshire County Council (in collaboration with constituent authorities) to prepare a Flood and Water SPD. | Paragraph 3.3.11 added which refers users of the Resource Use and Renewable Energy SPD to the anticipated Flood and Water SPD. |
| 9 | Lists 1 / 2- Including further guidance in the SPD on best practice in incorporating Sustainable Urban Drainage systems with biodiversity benefits into developments would be beneficial. | Reference to SuDs were removed from the SPD following the consultation on the June 2014 consultation draft in light of the recent intention of Cambridgeshire County Council (in collaboration with constituent authorities) to prepare a Flood and Water SPD. | Paragraph 3.3.11 added which refers users of the Resource Use and Renewable Energy SPD to the anticipated Flood and Water SPD. |
| 10 | Lists 1 /2 – Recommend weight is given to measures within both lists that can provide multiple benefits. The measures | Noted. It is considered that the measures detailed in the lists do not prevent developers from delivering biodiversity benefits as part of or alongside the measure. However, it is acknowledged that measure 11 in particular could encourage the delivery of biodiversity benefits. | Text added to item 11 in List 1 which encourages the use of species that will encourage biodiversity benefits. |



| | do not currently allow for biodiversity benefits to be delivered as part of, or alongside, the listed measures. To afford greater weight to measures that are seeking to deliver multiple benefits, a greater number of points could be awarded. | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 11 | Regarding provision of electric vehicle charging points, whilst electric vehicles have zero 'tailpipe emissions' at their point of use, it is a false assumption to claim they are emissions free. Emissions are dependant on those generated by the source of the electricity. | It is noted that the operation of electric vehicles is not necessarily 'emissions free'. However, their emissions, including those charged with electricity produced from non-renewable sources, are typically significantly lower than those from vehicles powered by non-renewable fuels. Furthermore, it is anticipated that there may be further technological advances in the future that will further reduce the emissions associated with electric vehicles. | No change. |
| 12 | Refurbishment of existing buildings to improve their energy efficiency is one of the major ways to reduce energy consumption and hence mitigate climate change. Consideration should be given to | Item 12 in List 1 relates to investment in carbon reduction measures or schemes, including retrofitting or adaption to climate change. | No change. |



| renove which potes This build agrice community priori lowe cons | ouraging 'deep vation' techniques h are showing major ntial in this area. should apply to any ling, residential, cultural or mercial, where the ity should be to er energy sumption. TO PART 3 OF THE S | SPD (GENERAL) | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Rene Sche supp enco local resid busir bene own This these their cons poter and e oppo fuel p comr | al community owned ewable Energy emes should be corted and puraged where the communities – both dents and local nesses- receive the efits through their power generation. will not only engage e communities in energy sumption but will notially create wealth employment ortunities and reduce poverty in those munities where ently these are in | Policy COM1 encourages community led renewable energy proposals. | No change. |



| | short supply. | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 14 | Renewable energy schemes and similar developments should demonstrate that a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) to the appropriate ISO 14000 series has been completed. This is particularly important to verify the actual sustainability of the proposed scheme and that it will have the claimed greenhouse gas reductions, resource use efficiency and energy generation impacts by looking at all aspects in detail. This will also allow comparison with other renewable technologies to make sure best use of the resources is being made. | Applicants cannot be required to 'prove' the 'sustainability' of their proposal, nor can they be required to demonstrate that they have compared their proposed technology with other technology options. | No change |
| 15 | Uncertain why there are three nature conservation and biodiversity consideration sections. | The three nature conservation and biodiversity consideration sections are included under each of the 'wind', 'solar' and 'biomass/ anaerobic digestion' sections for user friendliness: it is the intention that someone proposing a solar development can refer simply to that section of the SPD for all the relevant | No change |



| | The previous policy on | policies (rather than the SPD have several overarching policies that contain general criteria as well as specific criteria for each of the technologies). | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 16 | The previous policy on Water and Flood Risk and reference to sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDs) have been removed. | The water and flood policy and reference to SuDs were removed in light of the recent intention of Cambridgeshire County Council (in collaboration with constituent authorities) to prepare a Flood and Water SPD. | Paragraph 3.3.11 added which refers users of the Resource Use and Renewable Energy SPD to the anticipated Flood and Water SPD. |
| 17 | The proposed Flood and Water SPD is not referenced at any point throughout the document. There should be clear reference made to the proposed countywide Flood and Water SPD. | Noted | Paragraph 3.3.11 added which refers users of the Resource Use and Renewable Energy SPD to the anticipated Flood and Water SPD. |
| 18 | Relevant policies should include a requirement for planning applications to be supported by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LIVA). | Applicants will have to provide suitable and proportionate evidence as part of their application: they may choose to submit a LIVA to evidence the anticipated landscape and visual impacts. | Requirement for a LIVA to be submitted has not been included within the policy: proposals may vary significantly in scale and therefore the supporting evidence required for each proposal may vary. For example, it may be unnecessary for a householder wanting to install some roof mounted solar panels (that do not fall under permitted development) to supply the same level of information as a developer proposing a large scale solar farm. See also response to item 5 above. |
| 19 | Policies WT4, S4 and B4 RE Nature | Noted | No change |



| | conservation and biodiversity considerations – | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Welcome the clarification provided regarding assessment and avoidance/ mitigation of effects on designated sites as being applicable to all proposals regardless of scale and that the Council will apply precaution where there is uncertainty regarding potential for effects to occur. Also welcome the direction to developers to seek to deliver net gains for biodiversity. | | |
| 20 | Policies WT4, S4 and B4- I am content that policies WT4, S4 and B4 adequately specify the appropriate level of expected provision for access and highway safety aspects of such development. I am | Noted | No change as a result of this comment, though see item 33 below for details of amendments made as result of another issue raised. |



| | grateful for the inclusion of the reference to the specific document 02/2013 which guides the Highways Agency on its approach to planning applications and development proposals on or near the strategic Road network in England. | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 21 | Introduction to policies WT4, S4 and B4- With regard to the description that "the assessment of proposals will also be informed by formal representations received from statutory consultees", it is recommended that the SPD also describes how representations by nonstatutory consultees will be taken into account. | Noted: it was not the intention to imply that only the responses of statutory consultees would be considered. | Paragraph 3.5.16 amended to refer to 'consultees' rather than 'statutory consultees'. |
| 22 | Policies WT4 g), S4 and B4 – Minor amendments to | Suggestions for amendments to text noted. | Wording amended in line with suggestions. See also item 23. |



| wording suggested for clarity. Word 'within' should be removed, given it would be highly unlikely that a renewable energy proposal within the Ouse Washes SPA could be approved. | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Policy WT4 g) (also applicable to S4 d) and B4 g) - Welcome the introduction of this criteria to Policy WT4 which requires applicants for wind turbine development to ensure that proposals do not compromise the strategic objectives at Block Fen/ Langwood Fen. Request that the wording of this criteria is amended: the | Revised wording noted. | References to Core Strategy and SPD amended as per wording suggested by Cambridgeshire County Council. |



| | Strategy should be referred to as 'Core Strategy' rather than 'development plan. Also need to clarify that the Block Fen/ Langwood Fen Master Plan Supplementary Planning Document supports the aforementioned Core Strategy. (Revised wording suggested) | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| IN RELA | TION TO PART 3, WIND T | URBINES | |
| 24 | Policy WT1 b) i) – With regard to the falling distance plus 10% criteria for buildings, well used public open space or bridleways, the national policy guidance only refers to separation from buildings. | Noted: national policy and guidance reviewed and comment confirmed as correct. | Policy amended so that falling distance plus 10% is the required separation distance to buildings. Policy now states that this separation is <i>desirable</i> for well used public open space and bridleways. |
| 25 | Policy WT1 b) ii) – No justification for criteria for separation distance between wind turbines and overhead power lines. Policy WT1 (former | This criteria is based on advice from National Grid. Separation distance between turbines and navigable | No change: criteria retained. No change. |



| | policy WT10 in January 2014 consultation draft) – It is noted that some of the safety issues previously raised in policy WT10 have been included in policy WT1 | watercourses removed as national planning policy and guidance does not state a required safety distance to watercourses. | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | but it is noted that the navigation element is no longer included. | | |
| 27 | Policy WT1 c) (also applicable to S1 and B1) – The wording used within this criterion should be taken from or shaped via paragraph 134 of the NPPF. The choice of wording does not reflect the NPPF as public benefits need to be weighed against the harm but there is no need for those benefits to demonstrably outweigh the harm. | Noted | Policies amended to refer specifically to the NPPF criteria that developments which may affect the significance of a heritage asset or its setting are expected to meet. |
| 28 | Policy WT1 d) (also applicable to S1 and B1) – | Noted | Criterion d deleted. See also item 27 above. |



| | The wording used within this criterion should be taken from or shaped via paragraph 133 of the NPPF. The choice of wording does not reflect the NPPF as there is no balance whatsoever whereas the NPPF provides for circumstances where substantial harm is acknowledged but the benefits outweigh that harm. In our view this criterion requires a fundamental review of the wording; at present it is at odds with the NPPF. | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 29 | Policy WT2 f)- The suggestion that a proposed turbine should aim to rotate at the same speed as a neighbouring turbine is a good idea in principle but such an exercise would be hard to | This criterion is not suggesting that a proposed turbine should aim to rotate at the <i>same</i> speed as a neighbouring turbine: the policy seeks to avoid turbines with significantly varying typical rotation speeds. For example, the blades of micro scale turbines may rotate notably faster than those of larger scale commercial turbines. | No change. |



| | demonstrate in the field and impossible to enforce. This criterion is unnecessary and should be removed. | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 30 | Policy WT2 j) – It would be useful here if reference was made or taken from paragraph 2.7.64 of the National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) which sets out that the distance of 10 times the rotor diameter of the turbine is the applicable distance under which shadow flicker may occur. | Noted: confirmed that the National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) states that it has been demonstrated that there is unlikely to be a significant shadow flicker impact at distances greater than ten rotor diameters from a turbine. | Policy amended to include additional text regarding ten times rotor diameter principle. Figure 2 updated to reflect amended policy wording. |
| 31 | Policy WT2 n) and o)- We do not consider that separate policy considerations are necessary with regard to 'turbines near residential properties' as these matters are adequately considered by assessment criteria WT2 | Noted. These policy criterion were added to reinforce the general policies in relation to impact upon residential properties. | Policy reworded to refer to 'adjacent site' rather than 'nearby land'. Requirement for proposal to primarily serve the host dwelling removed. |



| | i) and j). It is also not clear what is meant by 'other nearby land'. We consider that criteria WT2 o) should be deleted as the overriding consideration should be whether a proposal is or can be made acceptable, not solely its proximity to a residential dwelling. | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 32 | Policy WT3 a) — The guidance within ETSU-R-97 applies to noise sensitive properties only- we would suggests that business premises and well used public areas are not "noise sensitive properties". The identification of what is a noise sensitive property is likely to be based on a site-by-site assessment. This criterion should be clarified or amended. | Noted: ETSU-R-97 reviewed and comment regarding noise sensitive properties confirmed as correct. | Policy criterion amended to apply to 'residential buildings and other noise sensitive properties'. |
| 33 | Policy WT4 a) – The set back distance | Noted: DfT circular reviewed and comment confirmed as correct. | Policy amended to clarify that criteria have been 'informed' by the DfT circular. Policy criteria therefore applies to all roads. The caveat that a lesser distance |



| | referred to within the Department for Transport Circular applies to the networks which are managed by the Highways Agency rather than the public highway. This criterion therefore requires amendment. | | may be permitted if the applicant demonstrates that this would not result in unacceptable risk is retained as it recognised that site specific circumstances may permit a lesser distance. |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 34 | Policy WT4 a) - Set back distances should be determined on the merits of the specific proposal- a smaller set back distance may be acceptable in specific circumstances. | The current policy wording includes a caveat that a lesser distance may be permitted if the applicant demonstrates that this would not result in unacceptable risk. | No change: caveat retained which addresses the point raised by this comment. |
| 35 | Policy WT4 d)- Icing can also be resolved through the use of technology which warms the blades slightly to prevent ice formation. This criterion should be amended as the turbines are capable of remaining in operation | Noted | Policy criterion reworded to state that turbines should include technology that will prevent an icing issue arising, with shut down technology given as an example only, rather than a requirement. This policy wording allows flexibility for other technology to be incorporated as part of a proposal. |



| | in certain circumstances. | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Policy WT5- | | |
| 36 | Support for policy WT5 which makes specific reference to air traffic movement and safety and parachute activity. Support for paragraph 3.5.18 which recognises that cumulative impacts of the proposal are relevant as well as individual impacts. Support for paragraph 3.5.21 and the reference | Noted | No change |
| | to CAA guidance including CAP764. | | |
| 37 | Policy WT6 a) (also applicable to S6 and B5) – The NPPF does not require proposals that include the development of the best and most versatile land to demonstrate that the benefits of the proposal would significantly | Noted: NPPF wording reviewed and comment confirmed as being correct. | Policy wording amended to refer to paragraph 112 of the NPPF. |



| | • | <u> </u> | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | outweigh any harm that is likely to result from the development. We therefore consider that criteria WT6 a) should be deleted or amended in line with the NPPF. | | |
| 38 | Policy WT6 b) (also applicable to S6 and B5) – Criteria i) and ii) are overly restrictive and unnecessary and should therefore be deleted. Even without these criteria policy WT6b) will encourage the siting of new turbines so as to minimise the impact on agricultural operations. | The criteria expand on part b) of the policy by clarifying how proposals will be expected to minimise the impact on agricultural operations. | No change, criteria retained. |
| 39 | Policy WT12 in January 2014 consultation draft- Disappointed that reference to the potential impacts on birds through wind turbine development has been removed (reference previously | Noted. The text regarding impact upon birds was removed as part of the wider restructure of the SPD. | Policy WT4 h) has been expanded to refer to the Planning Practice Guidance on the risks to birds and bats posed by wind turbines. Policy WT4 h) includes statement that "post construction monitoring may be required by condition to any approval." |



| | made in the introduction to policy WT12 Biodiversity and Ecology Considerations and within the policy itself). Also disappointed that the revised SPD does not include requirements for post construction monitoring for relevant proposals. | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| IN RELA | ATION TO PART 3, SOLAR | | |
| 40 | Policy S1 a) – The requirement to demonstrate that impacts have been minimised is required under Environmental Impact Assessment regulations rather than planning law. | Noted | Policy wording removed. |
| 41 | Policy S5- We strongly support policy S5 which recognises the potential adverse effects of glint and glare to aircraft movements. | Noted | No change |



| IN RELA | IN RELATION TO PART 3, BIOMASS AND ANAEROBIC DIGESTION | | | | |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--|--|
| 42 | Welcome insertion of paragraph 3.7.4 which clarifies that anaerobic digestion proposals where the feed waste is waste are county matter proposals and will be determined by the County Council, and are subject to the County Council's Location and Design on Waste Management SPD. | Noted | No change | | |



Annex A: List of organisations consulted

15th Wisbech Scout Group

1st March Scout Group

1st Whittlesey Girls Brigade

1st Whittlesey Scouts

3D Planning

Abbeygate Properties

AboveNet Communications UK Limited

Accent Nene

Ace Base All Saints Primary School

Acorn Nursery

Adrian Parker Planning

AFA Associates Specialist Planning Services

AFA Planning Ltd

Age Concern

Age UK

Age UK - Voluntary Visiting Scheme

Age Well Club

Airwave Solutions Limited Alderman Jacobs School

Alison Harker MRICS Chartered Surveyor

All Saints Inter-Church Aided Primary School - Playgroup

All Saints Primary School

allpay Limited

Alzheimer's Society

Alzheimer's Society - Chatteris Friday Group

Andrew Martin Associates

Andrew S Campbell Associates Ltd

Anfoss Ltd
Angles Theatre

Anglia

Anglia Building Consultants

Anglian Players

Anglian Water Services Ltd Anglian Water Services Ltd

Apt 6

Aqua Table Tennis Club

Architectural Design Services
Architectural Design Services

Arqiva Communications Ltd

Arqiva Limited

Art Architecture Ltd

Arts & Health

Arts and Minds

Arts Development in Cambs

Asda Stores Ltd

AT&T Global Network Services (UK) B.V.

Atelier East

Atkins

Atlas Communications NI Limited

Autumn Park Ltd

Axiom HA

Axiom Housing Association

B J Books Ltd

Barker Storey Matthews Barker Storey Matthews Barker Storey Matthews

Barton Willmore

Beaupre Community Primary School

Benwick Parish Council

Bidwells

Bidwells LLP

Bidwells Property Consultants
Bidwells Property Consultants

Bloor Homes

Bluebell Day Nursery

Bluntisham Parish Council

Bobby Scheme

Boots The Chemists

Borough Council of Kings Lynn & West Norfolk

Borough of Kings Lynn and West Norfolk

Bournemouth & West Hampshire Water Plc

Bradford Cable Communications Limited

Bramley Line Heritage Railway Trust Bramley Line Heritage Railway Trust

Brand Associates

Brand Associates



Breathe Easy Fenland Cambridgeshire Association of Local Councils

Brian Hawden and Co Cambridgeshire Caladonian Pipe Band

Brimble Lea and Partners Cambridgeshire Constabulary

British Horse Society Cambridgeshire Constabulary

Cambridgeshire Constabulary Estates Department **British Red Cross Society**

Cambridgeshire Countryside Watch British Telecom Plc **British Wind Energy Association** Cambridgeshire County Council

Brown & Co. Cambridgeshire Fire and Recsue Service

Budworth Brown Cambridgeshire Library Service

Bumps & Beyond Cambridgeshire Local Access Forum

Burgess Group PLC Cambridgeshire Mencap

Burrowmoor Pre-School Cambridgeshire Orchard Group

Burrowmoor School Cambridgeshire Police Authority Cable & Wireless UK Cambridgeshire Trading

Caldecotte Consultants Cambs & Peterborough Environmental Records Centre

Cam Sight Cambs Fire and Rescue Service

Cambs Regiment Old Comrades Association Camarque

CATS

Cambridge and Huntingdon Health Authority Campaign for Real Ale

Cambridge City Council Campaign to Protect Rural England

Cambridge City Council Cannon Kirk Homes Ltd

Cambridge Ethnic Community Forum Care and Repair West Norfolk

Cambridge Housing Society Care Network Carter Jonas Cambridge Housing Society

Cambridge Learning - Cambridge University Press Cass Associates

Cambridgeshire ACRE

Cavalry Primary School Cambridgeshire and P'boro Association of Local Councils

CCORRN Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Clinical Commissioning Group

Centenary Baptist

Centre for Sustainable Construction

Chase Construction

Chatterbox

Chatteris & District Ladies' Club

Chatteris & District Probus Club

Chatteris Action for Youth

Chatteris Community Archive

Chatteris Community Centre

Chatteris Festival Committee

Chatteris Good Companions

Chatteris Historic Festival

Chatteris Morning Womens' Institute

Chatteris Museum Society

Chatteris Music Society

Chatteris Neighbourhood Watch Association

Chatteris Rotary Club

Chatteris St Johns Ambulance

Chatteris St Peters Tennis Club

Chatteris Tang Soo Do Club

Chatteris Theatre Group

Chatteris Town Band

Chatteris Town Bowls Club

Chatteris Town Council

Chatteris Town in Bloom

Chatteris Unity

Chatteris Womens' Institute



Chatteris Womens Royal British legion

Cheffins

Chesterton Humberts

Christchurch Craft Club

Christchurch Garden Club

Christchurch Parish Council

Christchurch Residents Association

Churches Together

Circle Housing Group

Citizen Advice Bureau

City 1st Ltd

CityLink Telecommunications Limited

Civil Aviation Authority

Clarkson Hill Group Plc

Clarkson Infants' School

Class Instructor Ltd

Clipper Solutions Ltd

CMB Bowling Club

CNSFTC

Coates Athletic

Coates Youth Initiative

Cocksedge Building Contractors

Cogent Communications UK Ltd

Coldham Residents Action Group

Coldham UDT FC

College of West Anglia

Colliers CRE

Colne Parish Council

COLT Telecommunications Ltd

Come and be Heard

Commotion Youth Group

Construct Reason

Construct Reason Ltd

Contour Planning Services Ltd

Countryside Agency

Countryside Residential Ltd

Coveney Parish Council

CPRE - Cambridgeshire Branch

Cromwell Community College

Crowland Parish Council

Cruse Breavement

Cruso & Wilkin

Cvea Losgistics

Dave J Anthony - Photography

David Broker Design Services

David Taylor Associates (UK) Ltd

David Walker Chartered Surveyors

Dawbarn and Sons Ltd

Defence Infrastructure Organisation

DEFRA

Delamore

Department for Transport

Derbyshire Gypsy Liaison Group

Development Land and Planning Consultants

DGM Properties Ltd

DHIVERSE

Dickens Watts and Dade

Director of Joint Planning (Cambridge Growth Areas & Nort

Disability Cambridgeshire

Disability Information Service

Dive In Centre Guidenburgh Water

DLP Planning Ltd

Doddington Parish Council

Doddington Recreation Field

Doddington Under 5's Parent & Toddler Group

Doddington United Football Club

Doddington Village Hall management Committee

Dolphin Telecommunications Ltd

DPDS Consultancy Group

Drake Towage Ltd

Drinksense

Drinksense (March)

Drivers Jonas

DTZ

Dunhams Wood

Dwr Cymru Cyfyngedig Welsh Water

East Cambridgeshire District Council

Eastrea Village Hall Trust

Easynet Telecommunications Limited

EDF Energy

Eircom UK Ltd



Elm Centre

Elm Friendship Club
Elm Parish Council

Elm Pre-School

Elm Primary School

Elm Road Primary School

Elmside Limited

Elmside Ltd

Ely Diocese Emmanuel Church

=

Emneth Parish Council

Energis Communications Ltd

Energis Local Access Ltd

English Brothers Ltd

English Heritage

Environment Agency

Equant UK Itd

Estover Playing Field Association

EU Networks Fiber UK Limited

Euro Payphone Ltd

Exchange Developments Ltd

FACET FACT

Fairhurst

Farcet Parish Council

FARICE hf.

Farrell Bass Prichard

Federation of Small Businesses

Fen Ditching Company

Fen Tigers Explorer Scout Unit

FENDIS (Fenland Disability Sports Forum)

Fenland 14-19 Partnership (Schools)

Fenland African Carribean Community Association

Fenland Arts Association

Fenland Association for the Disabled

Fenland Chamber of Commerce

Fenland Citizen

Fenland Citizen Advice Bureau Fenland Community Church

Fenland Diverse Community Forum

Fenland Community Laundry

Fenland Furniture

Fenland Leisure Products Ltd Fenland Rovers Football Team

Fenland Running Club Fenland Scrapstore Ltd

Fenland Voice

Fenland Volunteer Bureau Fenland Volunteer Centre Fenlife Christian Church Fenpower/Ecogeen

Ferry Project FFT Planning

Fibernet UK Limited

FibreSpeed Limited

Fisher Parkinson Trust

FLAG Atlantic UK Limited

Flagship Housing Group

Ford and Slater

Forest Heath District Council

Forestry Commission

Foster Property Developments Ltd

Fountain Foods

Fountain Frozen Ltd

FPD Savills
Framptons

Friends of Friday Bridge School Friends of St Andrews Church Friends of St Mary's Church

Friends of the Earth

Friends of Wisbech Cemetery

Friends of Wisbech Park Fujitsu Services Limited

Fused Disco's

Fusion Online Limited G.H. Taylor Design G.R.Merchant Ltd

GC Planning Partnership

GCE

GCE Hire Fleet Ltd

Gedney Hill Parish Council



Geo Metro Limited

Geo Networks Limited

Geoffrey Collings and Company

George Wimpey (East Anglia)

George Wimpey Strategic Land

Gerald Boston Ltd

Gerald Eve

Gigaclear Limited

Giles Landscapes Ltd

Girls Venture Corps Air Cadets Wisbech

Given Time

GL Hearn

Gladman Developments

Glebelands Primary School

Global Crossing (UK) Telecommunications Ltd

Global Grants

Gorefield Parish Council

Gorefield Primary School

Greater Cambridge Greater Peterborough Enterprise Partnership

Greater Cambridgeshire Local Nature Partnership

Greenwoods Solicitors LLP

Grenadier Guards Association March Branch

Guyhirn Fruit Farms

Gypsy Affairs Association

Gypsy Media Company

H Kingham Ltd

H L Hutchinson Ltd

Hallam Land Management

Halsbury Estates

Hanson Aggregates

Harlequin Ltd

Harnwell Electrical Ltd

Harringtons

Harrison Murray

Harvey & Arnold

Hastoe

Headley Stokes

Headway Cambridgeshire

Heaton Planning Ltd

Henry H Bletsoe and Son

Hewitsons

Hibernia Atlantic (UK) Limited

Highlands and Islands Enterprise

Highways Agency

HMS Ganges Association

Hodplan Ltd

Hodsons

Holbeach Parish Council

Home Builders Federation

Home League (Christian Programme)

Homes and Communities Agency

Home-Start Fenland

House Builders Federation (Eastern)

Howard Sharp and Partners

Howard Sharp and Partners LLP

Humberts

Huntingdonshire District Council

Hutchinsons

Hutchinsons Planning Consultants

Hutchison 3G UK Limited

Hyde Housing

Hyde Housing

ICIS Consulting Ltd

In Focus Public Networks Ltd

Independent Fibre Networks Limited

Independent Town Planning Consultant

Indigo Planning Ltd

Insight Town Planning Ltd

Internet Central Ltd

Interoute (i-21 Limited)

Isle of Ely Federation of Womens Institute

Isle of Ely Society for the Blind

Ivy Leaf Tenants Association (March)

J & J Design on behalf of Chatteris Airfield

J B Turner Roses Ltd

J Hancock and Associates

James England Ltd

Januarys Consultant Surveyors

Jean Jones Private Day Nursery

Jerry H Smith Industrial Flooring

Jimaninos Club



Jobcentre Plus

John Martin & Associates Johnson Design Practice

Jolliffe

Jones Day

JRK & Partners Ltd
JS Bloor Services Ltd

K L Elener Architectural Design

KCOM Group Plc KDDI Europe Ltd

Kember Loudon Williams

Kidzone Child Care

Kier Eastern

King Sturge and Co

Kings Lynn & West Norfolk Borough Council Kings Lynn and Wisbech NHS Hospital Trust

Kingsfield Children's Centre

Kingsfield Pre-school
Knowles (Transport) Ltd
L Bevens Associates
Ladybirds Nursery
Lafarge Aggregates
Lambert Smith Hampton

Lancaster University Network Services Limited

Larkfleet Homes

Lattersey Local Nature Reserve (Wildlife Trust)

Les Stephan Planning Ltd

Level 3 Communications Ltd Leverington Friendship Club Leverington Parish Council Leverington Sports Youth FC

Levvel Ltd
Lidl UK GmbH
Lilliput Pre-School

Lincolnshire County Council
Lincolnshire Police Headquarters
Little Downham Parish Council

Living Sport

Local Enterprise Partnership

Local Generation Ltd LowC Communities Ltd

M.A. Bunting Ltd Fruit Growers and Packers MAGPAS The Emergency Medical Charity

Mair & Sons (Farmers) Ltd (Isle of Ely way Mill Hill)

Malcolm Judd Partnership

Manea Community Primary School

Manea Parish Council Manea Village Hall

Maple Grove Infant School

March & Chatteris Talking Newspaper Association

March & Chatteris Youth Groups
March & District Deaf Club

March & District Handicapped Swimmers Club

March & District Squash Club

March & District Squash Rackets Club

March & Fenland NCH Support Group

March and Chatteris Children Centres

March Area Regeneration & Development Trust

March Athletic Club March Autistic Group

March Bears Rugby Club

March Brass 2000

March Chamber of Commerce

March Chatteris & District Committee for Macmillan Cancer

March Conservative Bowling Club

March Conservative Club

March Cricket Club
March Deaf Club

March Evangelical Fellowship

March GER Bowls Club

March Golf Club

March Grammar School Old Boys Association

March Morning Women's Institute

March Museum Society

March Podiatry Practice Ltd

March Probus Club

March Regeneration Partnership

March Rotary Club March Round Table

March Senior Citizens Club

March Shotokan Karate Club



March Stonecross Women's Institute

March Tennis Club
March Town Council
March Town Cricket Club

March Town Table Tennis League
March Town United Football Club

March Trefoil Guild

March Wildlife Group

March Young Farmers Club

Marine Management Organisation

Martineau

Matrix Planning Ltd.

Maxey Grounds and Co

Mayfair Investments

McCain Foods (GB) Ltd McCarthy and Stone

Meadowgate Special Needs School

Meadows After School Club

MENTER

Mepal Parish Council

Middle Level Commisioners

Mike Sibthorp Planning

Minster General Housing Association

MLL Telecom Ltd

Mono Consultants Ltd

Mountford Pigott Partnership

Muir

Muir Group Housing Association

Mums About Food CIC Mundio Mobile Limited Murrow Book Café

Murrow Playgroup & After School Club

Murrow Primary School

Nacro

National Grid

National Offenders Management Service

National Romany Rights Association

National Travellers Action Group

National Trust

National Women's Register (Wisbech)

NATS Mailbox 27 Natural England

Natural England - 4 Counties Area

Neale Wade Community College

Nene Housing Society Nene Nursery School Neos Networks Ltd Nestle Purina Petcare

Network Rail

Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd

New Homes

New Road Pre-School

NewNet plc

Newton Parish Council

Newton Village Hall

NHS Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Clinical Commissioning Group

NHS Commissioning Board NHS Retirement Fellowship

NJL Consulting

Nordelph Parish Council Norfolk Constabulary Norfolk County Council Norfolk Street Traders

North Level Internal Drainage Board

North Ward Elderly Club

North West Anglia Health Care NHS Trust

Northumbrian Water Limited

NTL

NWP Street Limited

O2 (UK) Ltd

Office of Rail Regulation

Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner for Norfolk

Oglesby & Limb Ltd Old Road Securities

One 2 One Personal Communications Ltd

Opal Telecom Limited

Orange Personal Communications Ltd

Orchards Primary School

Our Lady & St Charles Church

Our Lady of Good Council and St Peter

Outwell Parish Council



Parents and Children Unite

Park Lane Primary School

Parkers Of Wisbech

Parkin Planning Services

Parson Drove Amenities Group 95

Parson Drove Cricket Club
Parson Drove Parish Council

Parson Drove Street Pride Group

PDG Architects

Peacock & Smith
Peckover Primary School

Pegasus Planning Group

Persimmon Homes

Persimmon Homes (East Midlands) Ltd

Peter Humphrey Associates Ltd.

Peter Pan Playgroup

Peterborough and Fenland Mind

Peterborough City Council

Peterborough Race Equality Council

Peterborough REC

Pick and Mix Pick Everard

Pipex Internet Limited

Planning & Transportation Department

Planning Issues

Poors Allotments Charities Poppyfields Investments

Power House Church March

PREC

Premier Choice Ltd

Princes Trust

Priory Disabled Gold Acadamy

Probation Office

Providence Baptist Church

Quay Plumbing Centre Ramblers Fenland Group

Ramnoth Road Junior School

Ramsey Town Council

Reach Europe Ltd

Real Whittlesey Football Club

Red2Green

Refuge

Reliance FLAG Telecom Ireland Limited

Religious Society of Friends (Quakers)

Richard Brown Planning

Robert Doughty Consultancy

Robert Hall Centre Robinson and Hall

Roddons Housing Association

Roger Tym and Partners

Rose Homes
Rosmini Centre

Rotaract Club of Whittlesey

Rotary Club March

Rotary Club of Wisbech

Royal Air Forces Association

Royal British Legion Club March

Royal British Legion(Whittlesey)

Royal Naval Association

Roythorne and Co

RPS

RSPB Eastern England Office

S B Components (International) Ltd

Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd

Salvation Army Wisbech

Sanctuary Housing

Savills UK SBM Ltd

Scaldgate Club

Scott-Brown Partnership

Scottish Water

ScottishPower Renewables

Scout group

SEARCH Architects

Serious and Organised Crime Team

Severn Trent Retail Services Limited

Severn Trent Water Ltd

Shelter

Silver Circle Club

Sir Harry Junior Sword Team

Sir Harry Smith Community College



Smallworld Media Communications Limited

Smart Planning Ltd

Smiths Gore

Snowmountain Enterprises Ltd

Somersham Parish Council

South Cambridgeshire District Council

South East Water Plc

South Holland District Council

South West Water Ltd

Southern Water Ltd

Spacelabuk

Sport England

Spyder Facilities Limited

SSE Telecommunications Limited

St Augustine's Church

St Edmundsbury District Council

St John Ambulance March

St John Ambulance Wisbech

St Mary's Church

St Mary's Church & St Peters Church

St Nicholas Church

St Peters & St Pauls Parish Church

St Peter's School

St Peters Wimblington P.C.C.

St Wendreda's Church

Status Architecture and Planning

Stephen James Allen Ltd

Stepping Stones Nursery

Stewart Ross Associates

Stocks AG Ltd

Storeys:ssp

Stroke co ordinator

Strutt and Parker LLP

Studio 11 Architecture

Sugar Tub Community Centre

Sunlight Mind and Spirit Recovery Group

Surf Telecoms Limited

Sustrans

Sutton Bridge Parish Council

Sutton Parish Council

Sutton St. Edmund Parish Council

Sutton St. James Parish Council

Swann Edwards Architects

T A M Engineering

Tallstead Ltd

Tamar Nurseries

Tata Communications (UK) Limited

Taylor Vinters - Solicitors

Taylor Wimpey

TCI Renewables

TCI Renewables

Tegerdine and Sons Ltd

Telecom New Zealand (UK) Licences Limited

Telewest Limited

TeliaSonera International Carrier UK Limited

Thames Water Utilities Ltd

The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership - Anglia

The Design Partnership

The Design Partnership (Ely) Ltd

The Fenland Project(MIND)

The Fisher Parkinson Trust Ltd

The Foyer

The Garden House

The Harbour (Whittlesey Christian Church)

The Heron

The Housing Corporation

The Inland Waterways Association

The Landmark Practice

The Landscape Partnership

The Mobile Operators Association

The National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Groups

The National Trust - East of England Regional Office

The Peterborough Gospel Hall Trust

The Planning Bureau

The Planning Inspectorate

The Planning Law Practice

The Ramblers Association

The Robert Partnership

The Salvation Army - Whittlesey-March

The Theatres Trust

The Village Children's Centre



The W R Davidge Planning Practice

The Wheel Centre
The Wildlife Trust
The Wisbech Players

The Wisbech Society

Thomas Clarkson Academy

Thomas Clarkson Community College

Thorney Parish Council

Thus plc

Tibbalds Planning and Urban Design

Tingdene (MJ) Ltd

Tingdene Developments Ltd.

Tiscali UK Limited

Torch Communications Ltd

Traditional Orchards

Traer Clark Chartered Architects

Transco

Traveller Law Reform Project

Trinity Methodist Church

Truckmasters Ltd

Trustees of M E Pettingill

Turner Contracting
Tweedwind Limited

Tydd St Giles Parish Council Tydd St Mary Parish Council

UK Broadband Limited UK Power Networks

United Reform Church

United Utilities Plc

Upwell Parish Council

Various Leverington Groups

Vawser and Co

Vectone Limited

Veolia Water Central Ltd

Veolia Water East Ltd

Vergettes

Verizon UK Ltd

Virgin Media

Virgin Media Wholesale Limited

Vitalise

Vivien Fire Engine Trust

Vodafone Ltd

Voeden Sandbrook Vtesse Networks Ltd

VTL (UK) Ltd

VTL Wavenet Limited

W A Fairhurst and Partners

Walpole Cross Keys Parish Council

Walpole Parish Council Walsoken Parish Council Warboys Parish Council Wardell Armstrong LLP

Warden Housing Association Ltd Warren Boyes & Archer Solicitors Well End Barn

Welney Parish Council

Wenman Design Solutions Ltd

Wessex Water Services Ltd

West End Preservation Society

West Norfolk & Fenland Muslim Association

West Norfolk and District Chinese Association

West Walton Parish Council

West Walton Parish Council

Westwood Junior School

Whaplode Parish Council

What Next

White and Eddy

Whiting & Partners

Whittlesea Society

Whittlesea Society

Whittlesea Straw Bear Festival

Whittlesey & District Business Forum

Whittlesey & District Crime Prevention Panel

Whittlesey & District Lions

Whittlesey & District Tenants' Association Whittlesey and District Business Forum

Whittlesey Arts

Whittlesey Badminton Club

Whittlesey Blue Stars Football Club

Whittlesey Business Forum Whittlesey Childrens Club



Whittlesey Club for the Disabled

Whittlesey Gardening Club Whittlesey Indoor Bowls Club Whittlesey Junior Football Club

Whittlesey Ladysmith Women's Institute

Whittlesey Manor Bowls Club Whittlesey Manor Dolphins

Whittlesey Methodist & United Reformed Church

Whittlesey Museum

Whittlesey Patchwork Group

Whittlesey Rotary Club Whittlesey Street Pride Whittlesey Tennis Club

Whittlesey Town Bowls Club
Whittlesey Town Council

Whittlesey United FC

Whittlesey Widows Contact Group

Whittlesey Womens Institute

Whittlesey Youth & Community Centre

Wight Cable 2005 Ltd

Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust Centre

William H Brown

Wimblington & Stonea Twinning

Wimblington Film Club Wimblington Parish Council

Wimblington St Peters Church

Wind Direct

WisARD

Wisbech & District Indoor Bowls Wisbech & District Squash Club

Wisbech & District Talking Newspaper for the Blind

Wisbech & Fenland Museum

Wisbech and District Chamber of Commerce

Wisbech Baptist Church Wisbech Bridge Club

Wisbech Business & Professional Men's Club

Wisbech Chamber of Commerce

Wisbech Community Development Trust

Wisbech Cycle Forum
Wisbech Electrical

Wisbech Fabrications Ltd Wisbech Furnishings

Wisbech Grammar School

Wisbech in Bloom Wisbech Job Centre

Wisbech Lawn Tennis Club

Wisbech Lions Club
Wisbech Monday Club

Wisbech Professional Development Centre

Wisbech Rose Fair Wisbech Round Table

Wisbech Rugby Union Football Club

Wisbech Self Advocacy Group

Wisbech Social Club & Institute

Wisbech Spiritualist Church

Wisbech St Mary Football Clubs

Wisbech St Mary Luncheon Club

Wisbech St Mary Parish Council

Wisbech St Mary Short Mat Bowls Club

Wisbech Street Pride Group Wisbech Talking Newspaper Wisbech Tenants Association

Wisbech Tourism Development Group

Wisbech Town Council

Wisbech United Reform Church

Wisbech Youth Council Witcham Parish Council Women in Rural Enterprise Woods Hardwick Planning

WYG

Xcelld Ltd- Renewable Energy

Young People of March Your Communications Ltd

Youthoria



Annex B: List of consultees who responded to January 2014 consultation that were notified of June 2014 consultation

Maxey Grounds & Co

Highways Agency (Bedford)

Cambridgeshire County Council

Turley Associates

Middle Level Commissioners

Renewable UK

A member of the public

RSPB

The Abbey Group Cambridgeshire Ltd

J and J Design

English Heritage

Natural England

Lincolnshire County Council